

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON**

FELIX ANTONIO BORDEAUX,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMMISSIONER of Social Security,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:12-cv-01213-JE

ORDER

United States Magistrate Judge John Jelderks issued Findings and Recommendation in this case on August 3, 2012. Dkt. 18. Judge Jelderks recommended that the Commissioner's decision be affirmed and this action be dismissed with prejudice. No party has filed objections.

Under the Federal Magistrates Act ("Act"), the court may "accept, reject or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C.

§ 636(b)(1). If a party files objections to a magistrate's findings and recommendations, "the court shall make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." *Id.*; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

If no party objects, the Act does not prescribe any standard of review. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 152 (1985) ("There is no indication that Congress, in enacting [the Act], intended

to require a district judge to review a magistrate's report[.]"); *United States. v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (*en banc*) (the court must review de novo magistrate's findings and recommendations if objection is made, "but not otherwise").

Although review is not required in the absence of objections, the Act "does not preclude further review by the district judge[] *sua sponte* . . . under a *de novo* or any other standard."

Thomas, 474 U.S. at 154. Indeed, the Advisory Committee Notes to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) recommend that "[w]hen no timely objection is filed," the court review the magistrate's findings and recommendations for "clear error on the face of the record."

No party having made objections, this Court follows the recommendation of the Advisory Committee and reviews Judge Jelderks's Findings and Recommendation for clear error on the face of the record. No such error is apparent. Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** Judge Jelderks's Findings and Recommendation, Dkt. 18. The Commissioner's decision (Dkt. 11) is affirmed. Plaintiff's appeal (Dkt. 1) is dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 4th day of September, 2013.

/s/ Michael H. Simon
Michael H. Simon
United States District Judge